1 2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 999 E Street, N.W.		
3	Washington, D.C. 20463		
4 5	PIDST CENEDAL	COUNSEL'S REPORT	
6	FIRST GENERAL	COUNSEL S REPORT	
7		MUR: 6031	
8		DATE COMPLAINT FILED: 6/26/08	
9		DATE OF NOTIFICATION: 7/01/08	
10		LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: 8/06/08	
11		DATE ACTIVATED: 9/16/08	
12			
13		STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS: 10/01/12-	
14		4/01/13	
15			
16	COMPLAINANT:	Chris McClure, Executive Director,	
17		North Carolina Republican Party	
18 19	RESPONDENTS:	Hagan Senate Committee, Inc. and Nancy	
20	RESPONDENTS:	M. Bremmer, in her official capacity as	
21		treasurer ¹	
22		# Amagra or	
23	RELEVANT STATUTES		
24	AND REGULATIONS:	2 U.S.C. § 431(13)	
25		2 U.S.C. § 434(a)	
26		2 U.S.C. § 434(b)	
27		2 U.S.C. § 441a(f)	
28		11 C.F.R. § 102.9	
29		11 C.F.R. § 104.5	
30		11 C.F.R. § 104.7	
31		11 C.F.R. § 110.1	
32			
33	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports	
34		RAD Referral Materials	
35		RAD Requests for Additional Information	
36 37	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None	
	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None	
38 39	I. INTRODUCTION		
40			
41	This matter originated with a complaint filed with the Commission alleging that		
42	Hagan Senate Committee, Inc. and Nancy M. Bremmer, in her official capacity as		

¹ Linda S. Cary was the treasurer of the Committee at the time of the activity at issue. Nancy M. Bremmer became treasurer of the Committee on August 25, 2008. There is no information to suggest that Ms. Cary should be named in her personal capacity as treasurer in this matter.

21

22

contributors.

1	treasurer, (the "Committee") violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as	
2	amended, ("the Act") when it received and improperly disclosed 97 excessive	
3	contributions totaling \$184,531.31 in its 2007 Year End Report and 2008 Pre-Primary	
4	Report. ² In addition, Complainant alleges that the Committee failed to timely disclose	
5	five contributions of \$1,000 or more subject to 48-hour reporting and failed to fully	
6	disclose required contributor information for over 370 contributions in its Year End an	
7	Pre-Primary Reports. In its response, the Committee asserts that it did not receive	
8	excessive contributions and offered information to support the presumptive reattribution	
9	and redesignation of most of the disputed contributions. The Committee acknowledged	
10	untimely disclosing three contributions subject to 48-hour reporting and provided	
11	information assertedly showing that it used "best efforts" to comply with the disclosure	
12	requirements of the Act.	
13		
14		
15	After a review of the available information, we recommend that the Commission	
16	exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the allegation that Hagan Senate	
17	Committee, Inc. and Nancy M. Bremmer, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated	
18	2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) by accepting excessive contributions, and dismiss with admonishment	
10	the ellegations that Usean Senate Committee Inc. and Nanov M. Bremmer, in her official	

capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C.§§ 434(a) and 434(b) by failing to timely disclose

contributions by 48-hour reporting and by failing to disclose identifying information for

² The complaint references 97 excessive contributions but provides specific information as to 94 contributions.

24

II.	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

2 3 A. Disclosure of Contributor Information and the Committee's Best Efforts 4 The Act requires candidate committees to identify persons who make 5 6 contributions that when aggregated exceed \$200 for the election cycle. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(3)(A). The Act and Commission regulations define "identification" to 7 8 include the individuals name, address, occupation, and name of employer. 9 2 U.S.C. § 431(13); 11 C.F.R. § 100.12. All written solicitations must include a clear 10 request for the required information. 11 C.F.R. § 104.7. In its answer to the complaint, 11 the Committee asserts a "best efforts" defense, maintaining that it complied with all of 12 the requirements of 11 C.F.R. § 104.7(b) to attempt to obtain identifying information. 13 including contacting the contributor within 30 days of receipt of the contribution and 14 reviewing previous contributor information. In order to demonstrate "best efforts," the 15 committee treasurer must make at least one effort to obtain the information no later than 16 30 days after the receipt of the contribution. Id. The request may not include new 17 material on any other subject and cannot include an additional solicitation. Id. The 18 request must clearly ask for the missing information; and if in writing, it must be 19 accompanied by a pre-addressed return post card or envelope. Id. If the treasurer shows 20 that best efforts have been used to obtain the information, any reports submitted by the 21 committee will be considered in compliance with the Act. 2 U.S.C. § 432(i). 22 The Committee is the principal campaign committee for Kay Hagan, a candidate 23 for the U.S. Senate from North Carolina in the 2008 election. The first report filed by the

Committee was the 2007 Year End filed on January 31, 2008. In that report, the

23

- Committee disclosed 468 individual contributions on Schedule A, but failed to provide 1 2 complete contributor information for 169, or 36%, of the contributions. In the next report 3 filed, the 2008 Pre-Primary Report, the Committee disclosed 1150 contributions from individuals on Schedule A, but failed to provide complete contributor information for 4 5 219, or 19%, of the contributions. 6 RAD sent the Committee a request for additional information ("RFAI") for the 7 2007 Year End Report requesting that the Committee update the incomplete contributor 8 information on the report and/or provide a detailed description of its "best efforts" to 9 obtain the information. See 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(3)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.7(b). The Committee timely responded but failed to provide documentation of its efforts to obtain 10 11 complete contributor information. On May 2, 2008, the Committee filed an amended 12 2007 Year End Report updating the identification information for 19 contributors, thus reducing the incomplete contributor information for the 2007 Year End Report to 150, or 13 14 32%, of the contributions. 15 The Committee's 2008 July Quarterly Report, filed after the complaint, disclosed 1688 individual contributions. RAD's preliminary review determined that the Committee 16 17 did not disclose contributor information or demonstrate "best efforts" for 19 of those 18 entries, or 1% of the total individual contributions. 19 In response to the complaint, the Committee maintains that it complied with the requirements of 11 C.F.R. § 104.7(b) in attempting to obtain the required contributor 20 21 information. In support of its assertion, the Committee provided partial records to
 - all identifying information required by the Act. Two of the cards included the

support its actions, including copies of three different types of donor cards which requests

obtain the information.

recommended language of 11 C.F.R. § 104.7(b)(1) informing contributors that Federal law requires "best efforts" to collect the identifying information for contributions exceeding \$200 in an election cycle. The Committee provided sample follow-up letters sent to contributors (although without documentation of the required pre-addressed postcard or envelope for a response), and phone logs. The letter requested the missing information and informed the contributor that Federal law requires the Committee to

Although the Committee failed to disclose the required identifying information and did not demonstrate "best efforts" for a significant number of contributors, its response to the complaint indicates that it is taking actions consistent with the "best efforts" safe harbor, such as requesting contributor identification information in its solicitation materials, sending a thank you letter that includes a follow-up request for missing contributor identification within the appropriate time frame, and, when necessary, contacting contributors by telephone while maintaining phone logs. In addition, the Committee's improved efforts are visible in the decline in its failure rate in the Year End Report (36%), the Pre-Primary (19%) and the July Quarterly (1%). This Committee's actions are distinguishable from MUR 5957 (Sekhon), a matter recently considered by the Commission. RAD referred the Committee to Elect Sekhon to the Office of General Counsel for failing to provide name, employer and/or occupation information for 89% of the contributions disclosed in several reports and failing to establish that it used best efforts to obtain that information, and the Commission found reason to believe that the Sekhon Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).

	Further, it does not appear that the Committee will be subject to a RAD referral to
OGC	or the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office ("ADRO") for its failure to disclose
ident	ifying information for contributors.
	·
	<u>'</u>
	. Accordingly,
hia (Office recommends that the Commission dismiss with admonishment the allegation
	he Hagan Senate Committee, Inc. and Nancy M. Bremmer, in her official capacity
	easurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) by failing to disclose identifying information for
contr	ibutors.
	B. Alleged Excessive Contributions
	The Act prohibits any person from making or knowingly accepting contributions
in excess of the Act's contributions limits. See 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a) and 441a(f). The	
individual contribution limit on giving to candidate committees is \$2,300 per election.	
2 U.S	S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A). Upon receipt of an excessive contribution, a committee must

1 remedy the violation by refunding the excessive amount or seeking redesignation or 2 reattribution within 60 days. 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(5)(ii) and (k)(3). The Commission's 3 regulations allow a committee to presumptively redesignate an excessive portion of a 4 contribution to the general election provided the contribution is made before the general 5 election, is not designated to another person, and does not result in the contributor 6 exceeding the contribution limit. 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(5)(ii)(B)(1)-(4). The contributor 7 can reattribute a contribution, or the committee can do so presumptively. The contributor 8 can instruct a committee in writing to attribute an excessive portion of a joint contribution 9 to another individual. 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3)(i). The committee can make a 10 presumptive reattribution of an excessive contribution in the name of the other individual 11 listed on the check provided this does not cause the individual to exceed the contribution 12 limits. 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3)(ii)(B)(I). A committee treasurer must notify a contributor 13 of the redesignation or reattribution within 60 days of the receipt of the contribution and 14 must offer the option of a refund. The complaint alleged the Committee accepted and improperly disclosed 15 16 excessive contributions totaling \$184,531.31. The complaint based this allegation on the 17 Committee's disclosure of individual contributors giving \$2,300 for the primary and \$2,300 for the general elections, assuming it unlikely that all of these contributions were 18 19 received in the form of separate \$2,300 checks. The complaint concluded that the 20 Committee had not properly redesignated or reattributed contributions made in the form 21 of single checks. In response to the complaint, the Committee asserts that it complied 22 with the requirements of 11 C.F.R. § 110.1 to properly designate individual contributions

received for the primary and general elections. To demonstrate its compliance, the

1	Committee outlined its internal review process for the subject reports. According to the		
2	Committee, the contributions disclosed in the Reports were handled by three means: the		
3	contribution was originally designated by the contributor, contributing spouses made		
4	donations by separate checks, or the contribution was presumptively reattributed or		
5	redesignated per the Commission's regulations. Response at 2. The Committee provided		
6	samples of contributor cards distributed at campaign events wherein the contributor		
7	signed and allocated the funds between the primary and general election. In addition, the		
8	Committee provided copies of contributions made by spouses through separate checks.		
9	In further support, the Committee also attached to its response examples of its contributor		
10	cards, phone logs, and letters to contributors to advise that their contribution was		
11	presumptively reattributed or redesignated and offering to refund the excessive amount.		
12	The Committee acknowledges that it does not possess documentation to support		
13	the presumptive reattribution or redesignation for 15 of the 94 allegedly excessive		
14	contributions itemized in the complaint. The aggregate value of these contributions is		
15	\$30,800. The Committee maintains that its behavior conformed to the regulations and		
16	that these few instances were the result of contributions for which an oral confirmation of		
17	the reattribution/redesignation was obtained without a follow-up letter or a copy of the		
18	letter could not be located. Response at 2.		
19	In view of the speculative nature of the allegation that the Committee accepted		
20	and misreported excessive contributions, the Committee's response indicating that most		
21	of the contributions were properly redesignated and reattributed as necessary,		
22			
23	we recommend that the Commission dismiss the allegation that Hagan		

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 Senate Committee, Inc. and Nancy M. Bremmer, in her official capacity as treasurer.
- 2 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) by accepting excessive contributions.

C. 48-Hour Notices

5 The Act requires principal campaign committees of candidates to notify in writing 6 either the Secretary of Senate, the Commission, or Secretary of State, as appropriate, of each contribution of \$1,000 or more is received by any authorized committee of the 7 candidate after the 20th day, but more than 48 hours, before the day of the election. 8 9 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(6)(A). The Act further requires notification to be made within 48 10 hours after the receipt of the contribution and to include the name of the candidate and 11 office sought by the candidate, the identification of the contributor, and the date of receipt 12 and amount of the contribution. Id.

The complaint alleged that the Committee did not timely disclose by 48 hour notice five contributions totaling \$6,000 during April 2008. One \$1,000 contribution received on April 17 (Thursday) and one \$1,000 contribution and one \$2,000 contribution received on April 18 (Friday) should have been reported April 19 (Saturday) and April 20 (Sunday), respectively. Instead, they were reported on April 21 (Monday). The Committee acknowledged that these contributions were untimely reported.

Response at 3. Respondents mistakenly concluded that if a due date fell on a weekend, the report was due on the next business day. *Id*.

By contrast, the Committee maintains that two other \$1,000 contributions identified in the complaint were timely reported by 48-hour notice on April 27, 2008.

The Committee asserts that although it disclosed April 24 as the date of receipt, these contributions were received by a joint fundraising committee on April 24 but not

1	distributed to the Committee until April 25. Although the Committee did not disclose		
2	these two contributions by 48-hour notice within two days of receipt, the Committee did		
3	report its share of the net proceeds received as a transfer-in from the fundraising		
4	representative. The transfers were appropriately noted on the July Quarterly Report as a		
5	Memo Schedule A to FEC Form 3. See 11 C.F.R § 102.17(c)(8)(i)(B).		
6	In view of the de minimis amount in violation here—\$4,000-		
7	and the fact that the 48-hour notices were filed within tw		
8	days of the required date and well before the May 6, 2008 primary, we recommend that		
9	the Commission dismiss with admonishment the allegation that Hagan Senate		
10	Committee, Inc. and Nancy M. Bremmer, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated		
11	2 U.S.C. § 434(a) by failing to file timely 48-hour notices.		
12	III. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>		
13 14 15 16	 Dismiss the allegation that Hagan Senate Committee, Inc. and Nancy M. Bremmer, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) by failing to disclose identifying information for contributors, and send ar admonishment letter to them. 		
17 18 19 20	 Dismiss the allegation that Hagan Senate Committee, Inc. and Nancy M. Bremmer, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) by accepting excessive contributions. 		
21 22 23 24 25	 Dismiss with admonishment the allegation that Hagan Senate Committee. Inc. and Nancy M. Bremmer, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §434(a) by failing to timely disclose contributions by 48-hour reporting, and send an admonishment letter to them. 		
26 27	4. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.		

1		
2	5. Approve the appropriate letters.	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8		Thomasenia P. Duncan
8		General Counsel
9		
10		
11		Ann Marie Terzaken
12		Associate General Counsel for
13		Enforcement
14	1 1	1
15 16	12/15/08 BY:	Want all
17	Date	Mark Allen
18		Acting Assistant General Counsel
19		
20		\mathcal{U}
21		acont. 128
22		Shana M. Broussard
23		Attorney
24		
25		
26		
27		1
28		I
29 30		
30		